General Certificate of Education (A-level) January 2012

Statistics SS03

(Specification 6380)

Statistics 3

Final

Mark Scheme

Mark schemes are prepared by the Principal Examiner and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all examiners participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every examiner understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each examiner analyses a number of students' scripts: alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, examiners encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Principal Examiner.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this Mark Scheme are available from: aga.org.uk

Copyright © 2011 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

Copyright

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Set and published by the Assessment and Qualifications Alliance.

The Assessment and Qualifications Alliance (AQA) is a company limited by guarantee registered in England and Wales (company number 3644723) and a registered charity (registered charity number 1073334).

Registered address: AQA, Devas Street, Manchester M15 6EX.

Key to mark scheme abbreviations

M	mark is for method
m or dM	mark is dependent on one or more M marks and is for method
A	mark is dependent on M or m marks and is for accuracy
В	mark is independent of M or m marks and is for method and accuracy
E	mark is for explanation
√or ft or F	follow through from previous incorrect result
CAO	correct answer only
CSO	correct solution only
AWFW	anything which falls within
AWRT	anything which rounds to
ACF	any correct form
AG	answer given
SC	special case
OE	or equivalent
A2,1	2 or 1 (or 0) accuracy marks
−x EE	deduct x marks for each error
NMS	no method shown
PI	possibly implied
SCA	substantially correct approach
c	candidate
sf	significant figure(s)
dp	decimal place(s)

No Method Shown

Where the question specifically requires a particular method to be used, we must usually see evidence of use of this method for any marks to be awarded.

Where the answer can be reasonably obtained without showing working and it is very unlikely that the correct answer can be obtained by using an incorrect method, we must award **full marks**. However, the obvious penalty to candidates showing no working is that incorrect answers, however close, earn **no marks**.

Where a question asks the candidate to state or write down a result, no method need be shown for full marks.

Where the permitted calculator has functions which reasonably allow the solution of the question directly, the correct answer without working earns **full marks**, unless it is given to less than the degree of accuracy accepted in the mark scheme, when it gains **no marks**.

Otherwise we require evidence of a correct method for any marks to be awarded.

SS03

Q	Solution					Marks	Total	Comments	
1 (a)									
	-		•				M1		Attempt at ranks
	Film	Ti	Ret	2T	Tr	Ry	M1		14 correct
	x rank	1	2	3	4	5			(can be reversed)
	y rank	5	1	4	2	8	A1		
	Film	Gl	Sam	BB	Ra	Sol	Ai		Alternative
	x rank	6	7	8	9 7	10 6			d = 4, 1, 1, 2, 3, 3, 4, 2, 2, 4
	y rank	9] 3	10	/	0			$\sum d^2 = 80 $ B1
	$r_s = 0.515$	or 17	1/22 (2 s	f from	cala)		В3	6	$r_s = 1 - \frac{6 \times 80}{10 \times 99} = 0.515$ M1, A1
	$I_{S} = 0.515$	01 17	/33 (38.	1 110111	caic)		DS	U	$\frac{1}{10 \times 99} = 0.313$ W11, A1
	sc2: 0.51	1/2							
	sc1: 0.5	awr	t						
(b)	(b) H _o Rank orders of gross takings and body counts are independent. H ₁ Rank orders of gross takings and body								
	counts ar association 1 tail	e not i on exis	ndepend		_	•	В1		or equivalent in words/symbols
	cv = 0.4	424					B1		
	test stat r	s = 0.5	515						
							M1		comparison ts/cv
	$r_s > cv$								
	comparis	on ts/c	ev						
	Reject H _o Significant evidence at 10% level to suggest a positive association								
	between rank orders of gross takings and body counts. For films with a body count						E1	4	Correct conclusion in context
	greater th						Li	7	Correct conclusion in context
	counts te								
					,	Total		10	

Q Cont	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
2 (a)	H_0 μ , η = £81,050 H_1 μ , η < £81,050 1 tail 5%	B1		consistent or equivalent in words
	diffs -17530 -16450 -9050 -22600 rank 8 7 3 9	M1 m1		For differences Ranks
	diffs 1150 -14550 5550 -12850 rank 1 6 2 5			
	rank 4 $T_{+} = 1 + 2 = 3$	m1		Total of ranks One correct
	$T_{-} = 8 + 7 + 3 + 9 + 6 + 5 + 4 = 42$ Test stat $T = 3$ $n = 9$ cv = 8	A1 B1		For cv
	T < 8 Reject H _o	m1		Correct ts identified for cv comparison
	There is significant evidence to suggest that average gross annual salary for consultants and medical specialists in the UK was greater than that for those working in France during 2003	E1	8	In context
(b)	Distribution of <u>differences</u> in gross annual salary for consultants and medical specialists is symmetrical	E1	1	
	Total		9	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
3(a)(i)	Sign test	B1	1	
(ii)	No measured data just a decision reduced/increased or no change	E1	1	
(b)	$H_o \eta = 0$			
	$H_1 \eta < 0$	B1		Allow H_1 $\eta > 0$ if signs consistent
	1 tail 5%			
	- + + - ts 7-, 2+	M1 M1 A1		For signs Excluding 'no change'
	Binomial model B (9, 0.5) P (\geq 7-) = P(\leq 2+) = 0.0898 > 0.05 for one tail test	M1 m1		Using B (9, 0.5) Comparison correct prob with 0.05
	Accept H _o .			Condone <i>n</i> =10 ts 7-, 3+ M1M1A1 B(10, 0.5) used M1 0.1719> 0.05 m1 E1 ft
	There is insufficient evidence, at the 5% level, to indicate that the doctor's belief is supported.	E1	7	
(c)	Sample clearly not random. There is no control group.	E1	1	Or other relevant reason
	Total		10	

Q Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
4(a)	 H₀ Samples from identical populations H₁ Samples not from identical populations 5% sig level 	B1		or symbols/words ref to population average
	Ranks	M1		Attempt at ranks
	Diet A Diet B Diet C 1 20 2 19 12 9 3 18 4½ 16½ 15 6 4½ 16½ 8 13 17 4 6 15 9 12 19 2 7 14 11 10 20 1 10 11 13 8 16 5 14 7 18 3 3	m1		14 or more correct (can be reversed)
	$T_A = 65\frac{1}{2}(\frac{102\frac{1}{2}}{2})T_B = 61\frac{1}{2}(\frac{85\frac{1}{2}}{2})T_C = 83(\frac{22}{2})$ $n_A = 8$ $n_B = 7$ $n_C = 5$	m1		Totals attempted
	$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} = \frac{65 \frac{1}{2}^2}{8} + \frac{61 \frac{1}{2}^2}{7} + \frac{83^2}{5}$ $= 2454.4$	m1		$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{T_i^2}{n_i} \text{attempt}$
	$H = \frac{12}{20 \times 21} \times 2454.4 - (3 \times 21)$	m1		H attempt
	= 7.12(or 7.13)	A1		7.0 – 7.2
	Critical value from $\chi_2^2 = 5.99$ H > 5.99	B1 M1		
	Sig evidence to reject H_0 and conclude that samples are not from identical populations	A1		
	There is significant evidence of a difference between <u>at least two</u> of the diets in terms of average percentage reduction in body weight for overweight men. (Diet C is most effective).	E1	11	
(b)(i)	There may be concern that diet C caused problems that led to the men not completing the diet.	E1	1	
(ii)	She may wish to check that the illness was not caused or made worse by the diet	E1	1	
	Total		13	

Q	Solution				Marks	Total	Comments
5(a)(i)		Accident	No	Tot			
		in 09	accident				
	15 10	26	in 09	200			
	17-18	26	174	200	M1		Some sensible effort
	years 19-50	48	652	700			
	years	40	052	700	A1	2	3 cell frequencies correctly placed
	51	12	288	300			
	years +	1-	200				
	Total	86	1114	1200			
				'			
(ii)	H _o Involve		ar accident	is			
	independen						
	H ₁ Involve		ar accident	is not	B1		
	independen						
	1 tail 1%	1					
	Expected	Ac	c N	lo acc			
	17-18	14.3		85.67			
	years	11.5		03.07	M1		Method for expected frequencies
	19-50	50.1	7 6	49.83			, ,
	years						
	51 years -	+ 21.	5 2	278.5	A1		All correct
		2					
	$ts = \sum \frac{O}{O}$	$-E)^2$					
		E					
	=	1 672	0.72	√ π ²	m1		Numerator correct
	$\frac{11.6}{11.6} + \frac{1}{11.6}$	1.6/-+	$+\frac{9.5}{21.5}+\frac{9}{2}$	9.5	m1		Denominator correct
	$\frac{11.67^2}{14.33} + \frac{11.67^2}{185.67} + \dots + \frac{9.5^2}{21.5} + \frac{9.5^2}{278.5}$				1111		Denominator correct
	= 14.85				A1		ts correct (13.0 -16.0)
	- 14.03				AI		ts correct (13.0 -10.0)
	cv df = 2	1% cv =	= 9.21		B1		
	- -						
	ts > 9.21				M1		
	Reject H _o				A1		
	a			•			
	Sig evidend				E1ft	10	
	in a car acc	ident is not	ındepende	nt of age			
(iii)	17-18 year	olds are fai	· more like	ly to			
(111)	have had a		inore nike	ly to	E1		
	114 , C 1144 UI						
	Those aged	l 51 years a	and over an	re far less		2	
	likely to ha				E1	2	

Q Q	Solution				Marks	Total	Comments
5 cont.	201441011				11242125		
(b)(i)	Expected	£0- £2000	£2001- £4000	Over £4000	M1		For 3 correct
	17-30	31.40	15.70	6.90	1711		1 of 5 correct
	years						All compose to 1 day
	31 years +	18.60	9.30	4.10	A1	2	All correct to 1 dp These marks may be gained in part (ii) Allow already pooled
(ii)	Pooled expect	ad valuas					
	Expected	£0-200	0 (Over			
				2000	3.61		
	17-30	31.40	2	22.60	M1		Last 2 columns pooled
	years	10.60		2.40			
	31 years +	18.60]	3.40			
	H _o Size of cla				D1		
	H ₁ Size of class 1 tail 1%	ım 18 not 1	naepenae	ent of age	B1		
	1 tan 170						
	$\sum (O-E $	$E -0.5)^2$					
	$ts = \sum \frac{(O - E)}{ O - E }$	E			M1		ts
	$=\frac{4.9^2}{31.4} + \frac{4.9^2}{22.6}$	$\frac{1.9^2}{1.9^2}$		m1		Yates used	
	31.4 22.6	3.4					
	4.04			A1		4.7 – 5.2	
	= 4.91			AI		4.7 – 3.2	
						Alt for non pooling sc 5	
							B1 hypotheses
							M1 test stat
							m0 no Yates
							A1 ft 6.06 (5.9 – 6.2)
							B1 ft df=2 cv = 9.210 E1 ft
	cv df = 1 1% cv = 6.635 ts < 6.635 Accept H _o						LI II
					B1		
							Pooled but no Yates sc 6
							M1B1M1m0A1B1M1
	No sig evidence to suggest that size of				E1	7	
	claim is associated with age					7	
				nr		22	
				Total		23	

Q	Solution	Marks	Total	Comments
6	 H₀ Samples from identical populations H₁ Samples not from identical populations 5% sig level 2 tail 	B1		Or symbols/words ref to population average
	Ranks Men who have taken caffeine Men who have not taken caffeine 2 13 1 14 6 9 3 12 8 7 4 11 10½ 4½ 5 10 12 3 7 8 13 2 9 6 14 1 10½ 4½	M1 A1		Attempt at ranks as one group For 12 correct ranks
	$T_{Caf} = 65\frac{1}{2}(39\frac{1}{2})$ $T_{nocaf} = 39\frac{1}{2}(65\frac{1}{2})$ $n_{Caf} = 7$ $n_{nocaf} = 7$	m1		Totals attempted
	$U_{\text{Caf}} = 65\frac{1}{2}$ - $\frac{7 \times 8}{2}$ = $37\frac{1}{2}$	m1		U attempt
	$U_{\rm C} = 39\frac{1}{2} - \frac{7 \times 8}{2} = 11\frac{1}{2}$	A1		Either U correct
	$U = 11\frac{1}{2}$			
	cv = 9 for $n = 7$, $m = 7$ 2 tail 5%	B1		For cv sc: cv=11 B0M1A0
	U > 9	M1		Correct comparison
	Accept H _o	A1		
	No significant evidence of any difference between average RER for the men who took caffeine and those who did not.	E1ft	10	
	Total		10	
	TOTAL		75	