

Mark Scheme (Final)

January 2015

Pearson Edexcel IAL Economics (WEC04) Paper 01

Unit 4: Developments in the Global Economy

## **Edexcel and BTEC Qualifications**

Edexcel and BTEC qualifications are awarded by Pearson, the UK's largest awarding body. We provide a wide range of qualifications including academic, vocational, occupational and specific programmes for employers. For further information visit our qualifications websites at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.edexcel.com</a> or <a href="https://www.edexcel.com">www.btec.co.uk</a>. Alternatively, you can get in touch with us using the details on our contact us page at <a href="https://www.edexcel.com/contactus">www.edexcel.com/contactus</a>.

## Pearson: helping people progress, everywhere

Pearson aspires to be the world's leading learning company. Our aim is to help everyone progress in their lives through education. We believe in every kind of learning, for all kinds of people, wherever they are in the world. We've been involved in education for over 150 years, and by working across 70 countries, in 100 languages, we have built an international reputation for our commitment to high standards and raising achievement through innovation in education. Find out more about how we can help you and your students at: www.pearson.com/uk

January 2015
Publications Code IA040514
All the material in this publication is copyright
© Pearson Education Ltd 2015

## General Marking Guidance

- All candidates must receive the same treatment. Examiners must mark the first candidate in exactly the same way as they mark the last.
- Mark schemes should be applied positively. Candidates must be rewarded for what they have shown they can do rather than penalised for omissions.
- Examiners should mark according to the mark scheme not according to their perception of where the grade boundaries may lie.
- There is no ceiling on achievement. All marks on the mark scheme should be used appropriately.
- All the marks on the mark scheme are designed to be awarded. Examiners should always award full marks if deserved, i.e. if the answer matches the mark scheme. Examiners should also be prepared to award zero marks if the candidate's response is not worthy of credit according to the mark scheme.
- Where some judgement is required, mark schemes will provide the principles by which marks will be awarded and exemplification may be limited.
- When examiners are in doubt regarding the application of the mark scheme to a candidate's response, the team leader must be consulted.
- Crossed out work should be marked UNLESS the candidate has replaced it with an alternative response.

FOR ALL QUESTIONS: No mark scheme can cover all possible responses. Therefore, reward analysis which is relevant to the question even if this is not specifically identified in the mark scheme.

Question		Mark
Number		
1 (a)	<ul> <li>Understanding that this would reduce the demand for exports from the country, constraining aggregate demand</li> </ul>	
	The government could use fiscal policy:  An increase in government spending could compensate for the decrease in aggregate demand caused by the worsening of the trade balance  The effectiveness of this would be determined by the size of the multiplier, there may be a time lag (depending on what the government spent on), and the government spending would have an opportunity cost. If it was in the form of export subsidies, it may break agreements with trade blocs or the WTO. Additionally, it could worsen government finances (fiscal deficit and national debt) - these are already very high for many countries and so such a policy may be unacceptable - and/or be inflationary.  The government could reduce tax rates:  A reduction in income tax/VAT could lead to greater consumer spending in the country, allowing firms to sell to domestic consumers, rather than to international consumers. A reduction in corporation tax/tax breaks for R&D etc. could lead to higher levels of investment, raising firms' price and non-price competitiveness, helping them to sell more goods both domestically and abroad.  Depending on consumers' YED for imports, they may respond to a tax cut by buying more imports, rather than domestically produced goods. Alternatively, depending on consumer confidence levels, they may save the money. Firms may not increase investment if their confidence levels are low, or if credit is difficult to obtain. The effect of a fall in price of goods would depend on consumers' PED for the goods  The government could impose tariffs on imports, leading to a lower value of imports, maintaining the trade balance, and reducing the level of competition that firms face from foreign companies  The effectiveness of this would depend on domestic consumers' PED for imports, domestic producers' PES, and the response of other countries, e.g. they may retaliate / lead to a trade war  The government could use monetary policy:  A decrease in the interest rate / increase in the money supply would encourage spending by domestic consume	

The government could use supply-side policies:

- Free market and/or interventionist measures could be used to increase the economy's productive capacity, lowering cost-push inflationary pressures, and increasing the price and non-price competitiveness of the country's goods and services
- Many of these policies are expensive, and are only effective with a significant time lag.

Also allow suggestions that the government should focus on increasing trade with its other partners to offset the loss of trade with the partner in recession (with appropriate evaluation).

(15)

Question Number		Mark
1 (b)	Understanding of economic growth	
	Increased international trade leads to economic growth:  Increased trade allows more specialisation according to comparative advantage, raising efficiency, and allowing both countries to reach points outside of their PPFs. This means more goods and services for their populace to consume (candidates may illustrate this diagrammatically or with a numerical example)  If a country's exports increase by more than its imports, then aggregate demand may increase, leading to economic growth (candidates may use AS/AD diagram/analysis here)  Larger economies of scale mean more efficient production, which may lead to an increase in LRAS  Firms face more competition, giving them more incentive to produce efficiently, leading to an increase in LRAS  A higher rate of technological diffusion, means that efficient production methods and innovative products are more widely available / used, meaning an increase in LRAS  Increased trade may lead to increased rates of (inward) investment.  May lead to increased availability of foreign currency.  Increased international trade does not lead to economic growth:  If imports increase by more than exports, the country's trade balance will worsen, aggregate demand may fall, leading to constrained economic growth  Increased specialisation according to comparative advantage reduces risk diversification, leading economies to be more vulnerable to external shocks, perhaps meaning lower rates of growth if a shock hits  Infant industries may be out-competed, and particularly in terms of developing economies, this may prevent industrialisation taking place / creation of global monopolies, limiting future growth  If developing countries are mainly exporting primary products, this may lead to a worsening of their terms of trade, hindering their growth and development  More trade into developing countries may involve developed	
	countries dumping goods on them, making it more difficult for domestic producers to become established and expand	
	<ul> <li>General evaluative points could include:</li> <li>Consideration of the limitations of the model of comparative advantage</li> <li>Prioritisation of points</li> <li>The answer may be different for different countries, particularly developed and developing countries</li> <li>Effects on growth depend on the all other things being equal, the</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>size of the multiplier, and the level of spare capacity in the economy</li> <li>Long run effects on growth may be greater than the short run effects</li> </ul>	(25)

Question		Mark
Number		
2 (a)	Candidates may be rewarded for argument that international institutions and NGOs have been successful, or that they have not, and use reverse arguments in evaluation.	
	<ul> <li>Understanding of a international institutions</li> <li>Understanding of NGOs</li> <li>Understanding of economic growth</li> <li>Understanding of developing countries</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The World Bank - provides low-interest loans, interest-free credit and grants to developing countries for education, health, infrastructure etc. projects. Also offers debt relief.</li> <li>The IMF - promotes international monetary co-operation, exchange rate stability, and orderly exchange rate arrangements; to foster economic growth and high levels of employment; and to provide temporary financial assistance to countries to help ease balance of payments adjustment. Also offers debt relief.</li> <li>The WTO - promotes the liberalisation of international trade, allowing developing countries to compete in international markets, and provides advice and assistance to developing countries in settling trade disputes etc.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>NGOs:</li> <li>Candidates may consider a range of different pressure groups, charities and community action groups, and a variety of different policies, e.g. aid, debt relief, fair trade, microfinance</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Criticisms of international institutions, e.g. of the IMF's Poverty Reduction Strategies, extent of debt relief offered</li> <li>Failure of the development agenda part of the WTO's Doha round</li> <li>Developing countries with small delegations still find it difficult to participate in the WTO</li> <li>Significance of individual NGOs' impact</li> <li>May such organisations be perpetuating low levels of development by enabling poor governments, and not forcing Africa to compete?</li> <li>To what extent do foreigners know what is best for developing countries - aren't the countries themselves best placed to know what the most urgent problems, and best solutions are?</li> <li>Problems of aid - the type of aid, tied aid, aid fatigue etc.</li> </ul>	
	NB Award a maximum of 12 marks (Level 4) if a candidate does not consider both international institutions and NGOs in their response.	(15)

Question Number		Mark
2(b)	Understanding of economic development	
	Possible factors could include:  Primary product dependency Savings gap Inadequate capital accumulation Foreign currency gap Capital flight Rapid population growth Ageing population Debt Inadequate provision of credit and banking services Corruption Poor governance Absence of property rights Civil war Inadequate levels of human capital (poor education and/or healthcare)	
	<ul> <li>Evaluative comments could include:</li> <li>Prioritisation of factors</li> <li>Different factors affect different countries, at different times</li> <li>Some of the factors may also have a positive effect on a country, e.g. rapid population growth</li> <li>As development has different aspects, several of these factors may work in combination to constrain progress</li> <li>NB Award a maximum of 20 marks (Level 4) if a candidate does not</li> </ul>	(25)
	refer to a country or countries in their response.	(25)

Question Number		Mark
3(a)	Understanding of a surplus on the current account of the balance of payments	
	<ul> <li>Low levels of consumer spending, perhaps due to high levels of saving, leads to low value of imports</li> <li>Large deposits of a commodity, e.g. oil</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>The use of protectionist policies by the country, e.g. subsidies to producers, tariffs applied to imports etc.</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>High levels of price competitiveness, may be due to:         <ul> <li>a weak (or perhaps under-valued) exchange rate</li> <li>low levels of inflation</li> <li>low relative unit labour costs</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>High level of non-price competitiveness, may be due to:         <ul> <li>High levels of human capital</li> <li>High levels of capital investment / R&amp;D spending</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	Evaluative comments could include:	
	<ul> <li>Distinction between reasons for a structural and a cyclical surplus (SR/LR causes)</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Different countries tend to have different reasons for running a surplus (e.g. compare Saudi Arabia, China and Germany)</li> </ul>	
	<ul><li>Prioritisation of factors</li><li>WTO rules prevent protectionism to some extent</li></ul>	
	<ul> <li>Discussion of whether price or non-price competitiveness is the most important factor</li> </ul>	(15)

Question Number		Mark
3(b)	Candidates may approach the question in a variety of ways: a general approach; a consideration of the significance of trade deficits; or a consideration of the significance of trade surpluses.	
	Understanding of current account imbalances.	
	<ul> <li>A general approach:         <ul> <li>Danger of increased use of protectionist measures by countries with current account deficits</li> <li>WTO rules might prevent protectionism</li> </ul> </li> <li>Financial inflows from surplus countries to western capital markets led to asset price bubbles and to financial institutions taking excessive risks (could count as two points)         <ul> <li>This could perhaps be countered by government regulation of markets</li> </ul> </li> <li>Financial crisis led to deep falls in GDP of surplus countries who were heavily reliant on export-led growth         <ul> <li>World trade declined for a relatively short period of</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	time	
	In the case of current account deficits, analysis could include:  • Might indicate a lack of competitiveness  • But might be desirable if it reflects a trade imbalance caused by imports of capital goods	
	<ul> <li>Might imply rising unemployment in manufacturing industries</li> <li>If labour is sufficiently mobile, this will be a SR problem only</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Might be difficult to finance</li> <li>Inflows into the financial account have meant that this hasn't been a problem for e.g. USA and UK</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Might cause a depreciation of the exchange rate, with possible inflationary consequences</li> <li>But this might bring about a correction of the deficit</li> </ul>	
	In the case of current account surplus, analysis could include:  • Implies other countries have deficits, which might result in the adoption of protectionist measures by these countries  • WTO rules might prevent protectionism	
	<ul> <li>Implies a lack of consumption within the country</li> <li>If a country isn't importing, it implies that it isn't allowing other countries to exploit their comparative advantages, preventing mutually beneficial trade         <ul> <li>The current account surplus could be a result of surplus balances in the investment income and international</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>balances in the investment income and international transfers components, rather than the trade balance</li> <li>Might cause an appreciation of the exchange rate, reducing future price competitiveness - particularly a problem for developing countries seeking to diversify out of primary product production         <ul> <li>But this might bring about a correction of the surplus</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	NB Award a maximum of 20 marks (Level 4) if a candidate does not refer to a country or countries in their response.	(25)

	Section A Part (a) Questions: Performance Criteria for Mark base 15				
Level 0	0	No rewardable material			
Level 1	1-3	<ul> <li>Displays knowledge presented as facts without awareness of other viewpoints</li> </ul>			
		<ul> <li>Demonstrates limited understanding with little or no analysis</li> </ul>			
		<ul> <li>Attempts at selecting and applying different economic ideas are unsuccessful</li> </ul>			
		<ul> <li>Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear.</li> </ul>			
Level 2	4-6	Displays elementary knowledge of well learnt economic facts showing a generalised understanding together with limited analysis i.e.  identification of points on the limited dispussion.			
		<ul> <li>identification of points or a very limited discussion</li> <li>Displays a limited ability to select and apply different economic ideas</li> </ul>			
		<ul> <li>Displays a limited ability to select and apply different economic ideas</li> <li>Material presented has a basic relevance but lacks organisation, but is</li> </ul>			
		generally comprehensible. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affects the clarity and coherence of the writing overall.			
Level 3	7-9	<ul> <li>Displays knowledge and understanding of economic principles,</li> </ul>			
201010		concepts and theories as well as some analysis of issues i.e. answer			
		might lack sufficient breadth and depth to be worthy of a higher mark			
		<ul> <li>Shows some ability to apply economic ideas and relate them to economic problems</li> </ul>			
		<ul> <li>Employs different approaches to reach conclusions</li> </ul>			
		<ul> <li>Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages which lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect the clarity and coherence.</li> </ul>			
Level 4	10-12	Displays a good knowledge of economic principles, concepts and			
		theories together with an analysis of the issues involved			
		<ul> <li>Demonstrates an ability to select and apply economic ideas and to relate them to economic problems</li> </ul>			
		Evidence of some evaluation of alternative approaches leading to			
		<ul> <li>conclusions</li> <li>Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way, but this</li> </ul>			
		may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar			
		errors may be found which cause some passages to lack clarity or			
		coherence.			
Level 5	13-15	Displays a wide range of knowledge of economic principles, concepts			
		<ul> <li>and theories together with a rigorous analysis of issues</li> <li>Demonstrates an outstanding ability to select and apply economic</li> </ul>			
		ideas to economic problems			
		<ul> <li>Evaluation is well balanced and critical leading to valid conclusions</li> </ul>			
		<ul> <li>Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found, but the writing is clear and coherent overall.</li> </ul>			
		Concrete Overall.			

Section A Part (b) Questions: Performance Criteria for Mark base 25				
Level 0	0	No rewardable material		
Level 1	1-5	<ul> <li>Displays knowledge presented as facts without awareness of other viewpoints</li> <li>Demonstrates limited understanding with little or no analysis</li> <li>Attempts at selecting and applying different economic ideas are unsuccessful</li> </ul>		
		<ul> <li>Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and the writing is generally unclear.</li> </ul>		
Level 2	6-10	<ul> <li>Displays elementary knowledge of well learnt economic facts showing a generalised understanding together with limited analysis i.e. identification of points or a very limited discussion</li> <li>Displays a limited ability to select and apply different economic ideas</li> <li>Material presented has a basic relevance but lacks organisation, but is generally comprehensible. Frequent punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affects the clarity and coherence of the writing overall.</li> </ul>		
Level 3	11-15	<ul> <li>Displays knowledge and understanding of economic principles, concepts and theories as well as some analysis of issues i.e. answer might lack sufficient breadth and depth to be worthy of a higher mark</li> <li>Shows some ability to apply economic ideas and relate them to economic problems</li> <li>Employs different approaches to reach conclusions</li> <li>Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages which lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect the clarity and coherence.</li> </ul>		
Level 4	16-20	<ul> <li>Displays a good knowledge of economic principles, concepts and theories together with an analysis of the issues involved</li> <li>Demonstrates an ability to select and apply economic ideas and to relate them to economic problems</li> <li>Evidence of some evaluation of alternative approaches leading to conclusions</li> <li>Material is presented in a generally relevant and logical way, but this may not be sustained throughout. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found which cause some passages to lack clarity or coherence.</li> </ul>		
Level 5	21-25	<ul> <li>Displays a wide range of knowledge of economic principles, concepts and theories together with a rigorous analysis of issues</li> <li>Demonstrates an outstanding ability to select and apply economic ideas to economic problems</li> <li>Evaluation is well balanced and critical leading to valid conclusions</li> <li>Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found, but the writing is clear and coherent overall.</li> </ul>		

Question No.			Mark	
4(a)	Applic nation	edge: roles include:  The promotion of free trade through the organisation of negotiations to reduce trade barriers (2)  Enforcing trade rules (2)  Settling trade disputes (2)  ation: " Trade ministers from the WTO's 159 member as (1) also signed a deal in December 2013 to clear red tape ders around the world" (1) / "Doha round" (1) / "freer (1)		
	2 marks for knowledge; 2 for application			
Level	Mark	Descriptor		
Level 1	1-2	Up to 2 marks for knowledge - see above	_	
Level 2	3-4	Up to 2marks for knowledge and 2 marks for data references		

Question No.			
4(b)	Factors	Understanding of 'deglobalisation'  include: Global trade to grow at the same rate as (or slower than) global GDP for the second year running The aftermath of the financial crisis has led to reduced international capital flows FDI around the world has been falling (absolutely and as a % of global GDP)  "it is not hard to find signs that foreign investors are becoming increasingly wary of doing business in places such as China"	
		tion: 2 specific data references from Figure 1, Figure 2 or 1(1 + 1)	(8)
Level	Mark	Descriptor	
Level 1	1-2	2 marks for identification of two reasons	
Level 2	3-4	2 marks for identification of two reasons; 2 for application	
Level 3	5-8	2 marks for identification of two reasons; 2 for application and 4 for analysis	or

Question			Mark			
Number						
4(c)			(12)			
Knowledge	Knowledge, Application and Analysis - Indicative content					
		re difficult for countries with a current account deficit to				
	finance it					
		ver rates of economic growth / higher unemployment / worse				
	-	vernment budget balance in countries which had previously				
		eived much inward FDI				
		ver financial account deficits for countries which had				
	•	eviously done much FDI her levels of savings/investment in their own economies for				
		intries which had previously done much FDI				
		s well integrated and interdependent economies				
		uld lead to lower international trade in the future				
Level	Marks	Descriptor				
0	0	A completely inaccurate response.				
1	1-3	Shows some awareness of the effects of falling FDI with limite	ed			
		explanation.				
		Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation.	Frequent			
		punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present a	nd the			
		writing is generally unclear.				
2	4-6	Understanding and explanation of the effects of falling FDI.				
		Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely				
		passages which lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or				
3	7.0	errors are likely to be present which affect the clarity and col				
3	7-8	Clear understanding and explanation of the effects of falling I appropriate application to context.	-DI WITH			
		Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some pun	ctuation			
		and/or grammar errors may be found, but the writing is clear				
		coherent overall.	ana			
Evaluation	- Indicative		L			
		gnificance: in monetary terms, global FDI is still higher than it				
		as in the mid-2000s, it rose in 2003-2007, and is very volatile				
	• E>	ctract 1 implies that the situation for world trade is beginning	1			
	to	improve, so we would expect FDI to follow suit				
		nancial and capital accounts of the balance of payments have				
		ther components, so a current account deficit could still be	;			
		nanced (e.g. through portfolio investment)				
Level	Marks	Descriptor				
0	0	No evaluative comments.				
1	1-2	For identifying evaluative comments without explanation.				
2	3-4	For evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning.				

Question			Mark
Number			(1.1)
4(d)	Annlicatio	and Analysis Indicative content	(16)
Knowledge		on and Analysis - Indicative content	
	<ul><li>"tracha</li><li>pro</li><li>pro</li><li>"In ave</li><li>infr</li><li>For</li></ul>	s is only a two year trend currently ensnational companies are dependent on international supply eins" - global specialisation/fragmentation of the production ocess means that countries must trade, and that there are high offits in trade for international firms late 2013, HSBC predicted that global trade would grow by an erage of 8% up until 2030 thanks in large part to increased rastructure spending in developing markets such as India" recast increase in demand for shipping containers (e.g. ersk), implying more international trade in goods to be done rease in the number of regional trade agreements in existence	
		ide facilitation deal negotiated by the WTO	
		w growth of trade is a consequence of temporary factors like	
		problems in the eurozone	
Level	Marks	Descriptor	
0	0	A completely inaccurate response.	
1	1-3	Shows some awareness of the case for rising trade in the future limited explanation.  Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. For punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and writing is generally unclear.	requent
2	4-6 Understanding and explanation of the case for rising trade in the future.  Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely to be passages which lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present which affect the clarity and coherence.		
3	7-8 Clear understanding and explanation of the case for rising trade in the future with appropriate application to context.  Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctuation and/or grammar errors may be found, but the writing is clear and coherent overall.		
Evaluation	- Indicative		
	• Br 19 19 • Re	reaking a 30 year pattern / hasn't happened since the early 980s / only extended period where this has happened was 913-1950 egional trade deals haven't yet been signed	
	Do	TO's trade facilitation deal was only a small part of the full oha round negotiations - progress on the remainder is still alled	
	• Fa	ncertain how long the problems in the eurozone will persist alling FDI inflows - investors are becoming increasingly cautious bout doing business in places such as China nother external shock may hit global trade	
Level	Marks	Descriptor	
0	0	No evaluative comments.	
1	1-3	For identifying evaluative comments without explanation.	
2	4-6	For evaluative comments with limited explanations.	
3	7-8	For evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning.	

Question No.				
5(a)	Knowledge: Capital expenditure is spending on investment goods / items are used again and again / for a long period of time / not used up (1)  Transfer payments are money paid to households/individuals not in return for any goods or services provided (1)  Data reference:			
	Capital expenditure: infrastructure (Olympic Games) (1) Transfer payments: pensions / "welfare-related payments" (1)  2 marks for knowledge, 2 for application/data reference  (4)			
Level	Mark	Descriptor		
Level 1	1-2	Up to 2 marks for knowledge - see above		
Level 2	3-4	Up to 2 marks for knowledge and 2 marks for 2 data references		

Question no.			Mark	
5(b)	<ul> <li>Understanding of public expenditure</li> <li>Changing expectations</li> <li>Ageing population leading to rising medical and pension costs (may count as two factors)</li> <li>Fiscal stimulus package in 2013 and 2014 to stimulate economic growth</li> </ul>			
	Infrastructure works ahead of the 2020 Olympics  Data reference (2 marks)			
Level	Mark	Descriptor		
Level 1	1-2	2 marks for identification of two reasons		
Level 2	3-4	2 marks for identification of two reasons; 2 for application as indication	ted above	
Level 3	5-8	2 marks for identification of two reasons; 2 for application as indicated and 4 for analysis	ted above	

Question			Mark
Number			(4.0)
5(c)	Annlicatio	on and Analysis Indiactive content	(12)
Knowleage	<ul> <li>Lov</li> <li>col</li> <li>ane</li> <li>As</li> <li>de</li> <li>Ma</li> <li>Inc</li> <li>Im</li> <li>inc</li> <li>FD</li> </ul>	wer consumer purchasing power, leading to lower levels of insumer spending, and constrained aggregate demand growth, distance constrained economic growth labour is a derived demand, this may lead to increased mand-deficient unemployment by be illustrated using an AS/AD diagram creasing inflationary pressures provement in the government's budget balance, as tax revenue creases crease in income inequality as VAT is a regressive tax I into Japan may rise as investor confidence improves as a sult of the falling budget deficit	
Level	Marks	Descriptor	
0	0	A completely inaccurate response.	
1	1-3	Shows some awareness of the effects of the tax rise.  Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. F punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and writing is generally unclear.	
2	4-6	Understanding and explanation of the effects of the tax rise.  Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely passages which lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grerrors are likely to be present which affect the clarity and cohe	rammar
3	7-8	Clear understanding and explanation of the effects of the tax rappropriate application to context.  Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punctand/or grammar errors may be found, but the writing is clear a coherent overall.	tuation
Evaluation			
	a u S ri Si T ir If C a e O p	the government's three strategies may prevent any fall in ggregate demand, meaning that economic growth, and hence nemployment are not affected ignificance: tax rise is being done in two stages. Although the ise from 5% to 10% represents a doubling of the tax rate, it is still relatively low the creation of inflationary pressures is not problematic, as inflation remains below target fax revenue may rise or fall (Laffer curve analysis) for economic growth does not rise, investor confidence is inlikely to increase, meaning that inward FDI may not rise consumption has been the main engine of economic growth, so my reduction in this is likely to have significant effects on the conomy frects of lower aggregate demand would depend on the level of spare capacity in the economy (extract implies this might be be resent), and the size of the multiplier	
Level	Marks	Descriptor	
0	0	No evaluative comments.	
2	1-2 3-4	For identifying evaluative comments without explanation.  For evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning.	

Question			Mark
Number			IVIAI K
5(d)			(16)
	e, Applicatio	on and Analysis - Indicative content	, , ,
	• Und pol	derstanding of monetary policy, and 'ultra-loose monetary icy' ference to Japan's macroeconomic objectives (Extract 2)	
	• Infl for • Exp	ion / 2% CPI inflation: lation has risen since March 2013 / currently at its highest level a long time planation of how ultra-loose monetary policy would be pected to lead to demand pull inflation	
	<ul> <li>Ref fro</li> <li>Exp tightightightightightightightightightigh</li></ul>	iscal sustainability: ference to the size of Japan's budget deficit / national debt m Figure 2/Extract 2 cansionary monetary policy could allow the government to hten fiscal policy (increasing tax revenue) without sacrificing owth and inflation he monetary policy generates economic growth, it would lead an improved government budget balance as transfer payments I, and tax revenue rises, automatically gher inflation may erode the real value of government debt (if crowed in yen)	
	<ul><li>Lov inv</li><li>Ult firr pot</li><li>Inc</li></ul>	ential growth: wer cost of borrowing for firms, likely to lead to more estment, leading to higher potential growth ra-loose monetary policy should create high incentives for ms to invest, as consumer spending rises, leading to higher tential growth reased business confidence leading to higher investment	
Lovel		el 3 response must address all three objectives.	
Level 0	Marks 0	Descriptor A completely inaccurate response.	
1	1-3	Shows some awareness of the achievements on monetary policy Material presented is often irrelevant and lacks organisation. For punctuation and/or grammar errors are likely to be present and writing is generally unclear.	requent d the
2	4-6	Understanding and explanation of the achievements of monetal Material is presented with some relevance but there are likely passages which lack proper organisation. Punctuation and/or grerrors are likely to be present which affect the clarity and cohe	to be rammar erence.
3	7-8	Clear understanding and explanation of the achievements of more policy with appropriate application to context.  Material is presented in a relevant and logical way. Some punct and/or grammar errors may be found, but the writing is clear a coherent overall.	tuation

Evaluation - Indicative content			
	In m  For m  In m  R  Cor  Bl  ex  ex  H	inflation rate is still only just above 1%, and has stayed constant anost recently oreign demand and business investment have not been the nain contributors to rising aggregate demand most recently, implying some of the monetary policy transmission mechanisms hay not be working ising taxes may outweigh the incentive to increase consumption due to low interest rates audget deficit appears to be rising still, and extract implies that expansionary fiscal policy is still required to ensure growth, wen with the current ultra-loose monetary policy igh level of capital expenditure among Japanese firms already more investment may not raise potential growth	
Level	Marks	Descriptor	
0	0	No evaluative comments.	
1	1-3	For identifying evaluative comments without explanation.	
2	4-6	For evaluative comments with limited explanations.	
3	7-8	For evaluative comments supported by relevant reasoning.	