

#### A LEVEL Physics

PHA3/B3/X – Investigative and practical skills in AS Physics Mark Scheme

2450/2455 June 2015

Version 1: Final Mark Scheme

#### AQA<sup>C</sup> PHYAB3: Practical and Investigative Skills in AS Physics

Sectio	Section A Task 1				
1	(a) and (b)	readings:	$I_a$ in range 780 mm to 820 mm and $I_b$ in range 180 mm to 220 mm; both dimensions to nearest mm $\checkmark$ $I_a$ and $I_b$ both to mA, both to 0.1 mA, or both to 0.01 mA in range 19(.00) mA to 21(.00) mA; $V_a$ and $V_b$ both to 0.1 V or both to 0.01 V $\checkmark$	2	
	(c)		method and	$r_{a}$ and $r_{b}$ calculated from $\frac{pd}{current \times length} \checkmark$ (method mark only; don't penalise for POT error)	1
1		result:	$r_{\rm a}$ in range 140 $\Omega$ m <sup>-1</sup> to 170 $\Omega$ m <sup>-1</sup> $\checkmark$ (allow other units as long as the value given is appropriate, eg 1.40 $\Omega$ cm <sup>-1</sup> ; condone $\Omega$ withhold mark for AE in calculation of $r_{\rm b}$ ) max 4sf: note that this is the only <b>part of Section A where</b> <b>excessive sf are penalised</b>	1	
1	(d)	explanation:	(percentage uncertainty in $r_a < r_b$ because) percentage uncertainty in $r = \underline{sum}$ of the percentage uncertainties in length, pd and current $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ current: $l_a$ is about the <u>same</u> as $l_b$ (both about 20 mA) so <u>percentage</u> uncertainty <u>in current</u> $l_a$ is <u>same</u> as percentage uncertainty in current $l_b \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$ length of wire: $l_a$ is <u>greater</u> than $l_b$ so <u>percentage</u> uncertainty <u>in length</u> $l_a$ is <u>less</u> [smaller] (by about a factor of 4) than the percentage uncertainty in length $l_b \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{2}$ pd across wire: $V_a$ is <u>greater</u> than $V_b$ so <u>percentage</u> uncertainty in <u>pd across wire</u> $V_a$ is <u>less</u> [smaller] (by about a factor of 4) than the percentage uncertainty in $V_b \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}$	4 MAX 3	

2	(a)	data: range and precision	5 sets of $I_1$ and $V_1$ and 5 sets of $I_2$ and $V_2$ , readings sensible (eg for similar $I_2$ and $I_1$ values, $V_1 > V_2$ ) $\checkmark$ (do not penalise for extra sets but insist all tabulated points are plotted) minimum $I_1$ value $\le 20$ mA, maximum $I_2$ value $\ge 75$ mA; I values all to mA, all to 0.1 mA or all to 0.01 mA; $V$ values all to 0.1 V or all to 0.01 V $\checkmark$ (if precision is inconsistent here <u>and</u> in question 1 do not deduct for a second time)	2
2	(b)	graph:	suitable vertical scale: points should cover at least half the grid vertically, ie at least 6 major grid squares (withhold mark for use of a difficult or non-linear scale, wrongly-marked false origin etc) ✓ 10 points plotted correctly, (minimum of) 5 on each line (check at least one on each line, including any anomalous); two <u>ruled</u> best fit lines of positive gradient ✓ (maximum acceptable deviation from best fit lines is 2 mm, adjust criteria if graph is poorly scaled; withhold mark if line(s) is/are poorly marked)	2
2	(c)(i)	method for <i>R</i> <sub>X</sub> :	evidence of valid attempt at calculation of $G_1$ based on the gradient of the $I_1$ , $V_1$ plot [direct calculation of $(G_1)^{-1}$ is acceptable]; $R_X$ , resistance of X, determined from $(G_1)^{-1}$ $$	1
		result for $R_{\rm X}$ :	result for $R_{\rm X}$ in range 78 $\Omega$ to 86 $\Omega_2 \checkmark$	1
		gradients:	hypotenuse of <b>each</b> gradient triangle $\geq$ 100 mm $_{1}$ $\checkmark$	1
2	(c)(ii)	method for <i>R</i> <sub>Y</sub> :	evidence of valid attempt at calculation of $G_2$ , based on the gradient of the $I_2$ , $V_2$ plot [direct calculation of $(G_2)^{-1}$ is acceptable]; $R_{\text{circuit}}$ , resistance of (parallel) circuit, determined from $(G_2)^{-1}$ ; $R_{\text{Y}}$ , resistance of Y, determined from $\left(\frac{1}{R_{circuit}} - \frac{1}{R_{\text{X}}}\right)^{-1}$ $[(G_2 - G_1)^{-1}]_2 \checkmark$	1
		result for $R_{\rm Y}$ :	result for $R_{\rm Y}$ in range 200 $\Omega$ to 240 $\Omega_{3}$ $\checkmark$ (unit required for either $R_{\rm X}$ or $R_{\rm Y}$ ; for POT error here and in (c)(i), eg $R_{\rm X}$ and $R_{\rm Y}$ results in range but both × 10 <sup>-3</sup> and not labelled in k $\Omega$ , only deduct 1 mark)	1
	•			16

Section A Task 2					
1	(a)	explanation:	line up plumb line with loop B; move loop T until this is lined up with plumb line $\checkmark$	1	
		tabulation:	$m$ /g y /mm $\checkmark$ full credit for valid alternative units for $m$ and $y$	1	
1	(b)/(c)	results:	9 sets of <i>m</i> and $y \checkmark \checkmark$ deduct 1 mark for each missing set, if <i>m</i> is not in the left-hand column of a table with data arranged in rows; deduct this mark if the data is not recorded in a single coherent table, if there is no evidence that <u>mean</u> <i>y</i> values have been obtained from repeated readings, eg loading and unloading (condone no repeat for <i>m</i> = 900 g), additional mass recorded for <i>m</i> (ie values recorded for <i>m</i> = 0 to <i>m</i> = 800 g) maximum deduction 2 marks; there is no credit for false or invented data	2	
		significant figures:	all y recorded to the nearest mm; if m values recorded in kg these must be 3 sf $\checkmark$	1	
	(d)	(d)	axes:	marked <i>y</i> /mm (vertical) and <i>m</i> /g (horizontal) $\checkmark\checkmark$ deduct ½ for each missing label or separator, rounding down; no mark if axes are reversed either or both marks may be lost if the interval between the numerical values is marked with a frequency of > 5 cm	2
1			scales:	points should cover at least half the grid horizontally $\checkmark$ and half the grid vertically $\checkmark$ (if necessary, a false origin should be used to meet these criteria; either or both marks may be lost for use of a difficult or non-linear scale)	2
			points:	all tabulated points plotted correctly (check at least three including one from each straight-line section and any anomalous points); 8 or 9 (tabulated and plotted) $\checkmark \checkmark \checkmark$ [7 $\checkmark \checkmark$ , 6 $\checkmark$ ] 1 mark is deducted for each tabulated point that has not been plotted for any plotted point for which the data has not been tabulated for every point > 1 mm from correct position if any point is poorly marked; no credit for false data	3
		line:	<u>ruled</u> best fit line of positive gradient from $m = 100$ g to $m = 300$ g and a <u>ruled</u> section of <u>lower</u> positive gradient from $m = 500$ g; these lines must meet at an elbow, otherwise they must be joined by smooth curve with no inflection $\checkmark$ maximum acceptable deviation from best fit line is 2 mm, adjust criteria if graph is poorly scaled; withhold mark if line is poorly marked	1	
		quality:	8 points to $\pm$ 2mm of a suitable line as described above; if a curve is drawn use a ruler to judge Q from the plotted points, adjusting for any mis-plots; adjust $\pm$ 2mm criterion if the graph is poorly scaled $\checkmark$	1	
	•	•			

Section B			
1	(a)(i) and (a)(ii)	valid attempt at gradient calculation or $_{12}\checkmark = 0$ (if a curve is drawn in error a tangent or normal should be drawn to form the hypotenuse of the triangle) correct transfer of <i>y</i> - and <i>x</i> -step data between graph and both calculations $_1\checkmark$ (mark is withheld if points used to determine either step > 1 mm from correct position on grid; if tabulated points are used these must lie on the line) at least one gradient calculation has <i>y</i> -step and <i>x</i> -step both at least 8 semi- major grid squares [5 by 13 or 13 by 5] $_2\checkmark$ (if a poorly-scaled graph is drawn the hypotenuse of the gradient triangle should be extended to meet the 8 × 8 criteria)	2
1	(a)(iii)	$\frac{G_1}{G_2}$ , no unit, in range 2.37 to 2.63 or 2.5 $\checkmark \checkmark$ [2.25 to 2.75 or 2.3, 2.4, 2.6 or 2.7 $\checkmark$ ] max 4sf answer: note that this is the only part of Section B where excessive sf are penalised	2
		sensible comment about the condition of the central spring at the point when $G_1$ changes to $G_2$ , eg (the thread becomes tight and) the central spring is placed under tension [is extended / is stretched] $_1 \checkmark$	
1	(b)(i)	sensible comment about how the condition of the central spring affects the characteristics of the system at the point when $G_1$ changes to $G_2$ , eg when the central spring comes under tension the system is harder to stretch [stiffness of system is increased / the change in <i>y</i> per 100 g [rate of change of <i>y</i> ] is decreased] $_2$	MAX 2
		gradient of graph $\propto \frac{1}{\text{stiffness}} \sqrt[3]{}$ (reject gradient = $\frac{1}{\text{stiffness}}$ )	
1	(b)(ii)	<u>extrapolate</u> [extend the line] and read off the <i>y</i> [vertical] intercept $\checkmark$ (insist on 'extrapolate/extend' and ' <i>y</i> / vertical intercept or value where line meets <i>y</i> axis'; give full credit for a clear annotated diagram showing the line extrapolated to meet the axis and the intercept labelled or for algebraic approach based on intercept = <i>y</i> – <i>G</i> <sub>1</sub> <i>x</i> where <i>y</i> and <i>x</i> are coordinates on the line where the gradient = <i>G</i> <sub>1</sub> )	1
1	(c)	candidate's graph will be linear [straight line/no change in gradient] <u>of</u> gradient $G_1$ [same gradient as when $m \le 300$ g] $\checkmark$	1

2	(a)(i)	when S is closed the resistors R1 and R2 are in parallel $_{1}$ $\checkmark$ ( $I_{2}$ is greater than $I_{1}$ because) when S is closed) <u>circuit</u> [total / combined] resistance is less [resistance of (combination of) R1 and R2 together is less than the resistance of R1 (by itself)] $_{2}$ $\checkmark$	
		idea that (battery) pd [voltage] is shared between the <u>variable resistor</u> and fixed resistor(s) R1 (and R2) [across voltmeter] $_{3}$	
2	(a)(ii)	$I \times R$ argument pd across <u>variable resistor</u> = current × resistance <u>of variable resistor</u> $_{4}\checkmark$ ( $V_1$ is greater than $V_2$ because) when current is greater, pd across <u>variable</u> <u>resistor is greater</u> (so pd across parallel part [voltmeter reading] is less) $_{5}\checkmark$ [potential divider argument allowed <b>only when</b> $_{3}\checkmark$ <b>has been earned</b> ( $V_2$ is less than $V_1$ because) the <u>variable resistor</u> has a greater share of the available pd when the introduction of R2 reduces the fixed resistance of the circuit $_{45}\checkmark$ ]	5 MAX 3
	(b)(i)	mean correctly calculated as 68.9(0) ( $\Omega$ ) $\checkmark$ (reject 2sf 69 but allow > 4 sf; do not insist on seeing working)	1
	(b)(ii)	working to show uncertainty = half range, result to <u>same dp</u> as mean; for mean = 68.90, uncertainty = 2.95 ( $\Omega$ ) [for mean = 68.9, uncertainty = 3.0] $\checkmark$ (reject 1 sf 3 unless 69 given in (b)(i))	1
2	(b)(iii)	statement (or correct working) to show the resistance at limits of the manufacturer's tolerance are 71.4 $\Omega$ and/or 64.6) $\Omega_1 \checkmark \text{ or }_{12} \checkmark = 0$ (from (b)(i) and (b)(ii)) statement (or correct working) to show the resistance (as high as) 71.9 $\Omega$ [as low as 65.9 $\Omega$ or sum / difference of answers to (b)(i) and (b)(ii)]; a logically consistent statement is also required about whether the resistor is outside the range (expect 'outside' but allow 'yes') $_2 \checkmark$	2

(a)(i)	position of cross-wires recorded between 94.0 to 110.0 mm, to 0.1 mm $\checkmark$	1
3 (a)(ii)	<i>d</i> in range 12.4 to 12.8 mm $\checkmark$ s in range 6.0 to 6.8 mm (reject 6 mm) $\checkmark$ the correct unit must appear with at least one of the answers in (a)(i) and (a)(ii), or withhold one mark here	2
3 (b)	number of washers found from $\frac{\pi(125+d)}{d}$ (if <i>d</i> is in mm) $\checkmark$ 34 [ecf for false <i>d</i> but must be rounded <u>down</u> to an integer] $\checkmark$ $\left[\frac{\pi(125+d/2)}{d}\right]$ leading to 32 is worth 1 MAX]	2
3 (c)(i)	thickness of washer measured with a micrometer [screw gauge, digital   vernier callipers: allow (analogue) vernier calliper if the precaution is measure   thickness of several washers and find average] ✓   repeat reading in different places and divide by number / find average ✓   [measure multiple thicknesses and divide by number / find average or check for zero error before making measurements or   close jaws of micrometer using the ratchet / do not over-tighten the micrometer ✓]   (ignore reference to checking calibration) mass of the washer measured (ignored 'weighed with') with a balance (reject 'scales' (digital or otherwise)) ✓   measure (combined) mass of several washers and divide by number of washers / calculate average (mass) [measure mass of different washers and calculate average] ✓   (ecf for 'scales' but no ecf for 'weight') [check for zero error before making measurement / ensure that balance has been tared [zeroed] or   ensure that balance is on a horizontal surface ✓] (ignore reference to checking calibration)	2
3 (c)(ii)	description of correct algebraic method to determine <u>how</u> the volume of the washer is obtained, eg $\frac{\pi}{4} \times (d^2 - s^2) \times$ thickness; if numerical values are suggested for <i>d</i> and <i>s</i> allow ecf from part (a) (reject bland 'cross-sectional area × thickness' or $\frac{\pi}{4} \times (d - s)^2 \times$ thickness); $density = \frac{mass}{volume} \checkmark$	1
I	1	25